



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,526	10/12/2000	Eric Peel	07316/080001	1042

7590 01/28/2004

Scott C. Harris
Fish & Richardson PC
12390 El Camino Real
San Diego, CA 92130

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, MATTHEW D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2186

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,526	10/12/2000	Eric Peel	07316/080001	1042

7590 11/28/2003

Scott C Harris
Fish & Richardson PC
Suite 500
4350 La Jolla Village Drive
San Diego, CA 92122

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, MATTHEW D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2186	6

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/687,526

Applicant(s)

PEEL ET AL.

Examiner

Matthew D. Anderson

Art Unit

2186

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,7-21 and 26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-6 and 22-25 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4-5.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 8, 12-13, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thome *et al.* (US Patent # 5,604,884).

3. With respect to claims 1, 8, 10-13, 17, and 20, Thome *et al.* disclose:

a memory device comprising a plurality of memory elements, each memory elements having an associated address; a client device; and a bus to pass data between the memory device and the client device, as shown in figure 3;

determining valid bytes in an m-byte word accessed from a burst memory, comprising: receiving a plurality of access parameters; and generating an m-bit enable word from the access parameters and a value of m, said m-bit enable word including at least one valid bit corresponding to at least one valid byte in the m-byte word, by teaching in column 7, line 61, through column 8, line 4, that the CPU 52 operates in a similar manner as the i486 microprocessor, where it asserts an address status signal ADS* indicating that a new valid bus cycle is currently being driven by the CPU 52. When the ADS* signal is asserted, the CPU 52 also drives a signal M/IO* indicating whether the cycle is a memory or I/O operation, a signal W/R* indicating whether the cycle is a write or a read operation and a signal D/C* indicating a

Art Unit: 2186

data or control cycle. The CPU 52 also asserts eight byte enable bits BE7*-BE0* indicating which bytes of the PD data bus are to be read or written by the CPU 52. In general, the cycle is terminated by an external device asserting a burst ready signal BRDY* to the CPU 52, indicating that the external device has presented valid data for a read cycle or has accepted data in response to a write request.

4. With respect to claim 18, Thome *et al.* disclose determining whether the access is a last access required to satisfy a client request, by teaching in column 5, lines 4-7, that after the last rising edge of the CLK signal in a burst cycle, the ADV* signal is negated so that remaining CLK cycles are ignored.

5. With respect to claim 19, Thome *et al.* disclose the bus comprises a read bus and a write bus, as shown by the busses in figure 1 connecting the data in-register and output buffer to the memory array.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 2, 9-11, 14-16, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thome *et al.* and Macachor (US Patent 5,452,432).

8. Thome *et al.* teach all other limitations of the parent claims, as discussed above, but fail to specifically disclose the request access parameters including a first address and a byte count

Art Unit: 2186

value. Macachor teaches in column 3, lines 49-53, transmission parameters for burst length, starting address, block size, block count, and byte count.

9. With respect to claim 15, Thome *et al.* disclose the memory controller determining a second address for a subsequent access for the plurality of access parameters, by teaching in column 4, line 65-67, that clocking the counter causes the internal address to point to the next consecutive address location in the memory array.

10. With respect to claim 16, Thome *et al.* disclose the client storing the second address, by showing in figure 1, address register 26 connected to the clock signal and used to provide the internal address to the memory array.

11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Thome *et al.* and Macachor before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the burst memory transmission taught by Thome *et al.*, to include a starting address and byte count, as with the burst memory transmission of Macachor, in order to provide user control to vary transmission parameters, as taught by Macachor.

12. Claims 7 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thome *et al.*.

13. The difference between Thome *et al.* and the claims is the claims recite the value of m being thirty-two, while Thome *et al.* discloses theirs to be eight. However, this specific size value does not have a disclosed purpose nor is it disclosed to overcome any deficiencies in the prior art. As such, the size of m may have been embodied in a number of values. Accordingly, it

Art Unit: 2186

would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize the 8-byte word in the burst transmission of Thome *et al.*, as disclosed supra, since applicant has not disclosed that a thirty-two byte word in the burst, as opposed to other sizes, overcomes a deficiency in the prior art or is for any stated purpose.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 3-6 and 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

15. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest the combination of claim elements specifically including truncating a portion of the first address to produce an n-bit word; generating an enable value from the n-bit word, the byte count value, and the m-value; generating an m-bit pre-shifter enable word from the enable value and the m value; and shifting the bits in the m-bit pre-shifted enable word by a value of the n-bit word.

16. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2186

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar memory burst transmissions.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew D. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 2nd Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.



Matthew D. Anderson
November 18, 2003